

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N	
09/739,143	12/18/2000	Koichi Hata	MAT-8070US	9841	
7590 05/31/2005			EXAMINER		
Lawrence E. Ashery			VAUGHN, GREGORY J		
Ratner & Prestia	a				
One Westlakes,	Berwyn, Suite 301		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 980			2178		
Valley Forge, PA 19482-0980			DATE MAILED: 05/31/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/739,143	HATA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Gregory J. Vaughn	2178		

	Gregory J. vaugnn	21/8	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>10 May 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aft tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	0001100
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo			
<ul><li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bef appeal; and/or</li></ul>	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		,	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li></ol>	:		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	nt before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)	
13.   ☐ Other: Attached definitions sheet.	•	<u> </u>	,
		DIMA	
		<b>WEPHEN</b>	HONG

SUPERVISORY PATERITY

The recited prior art of record (Li et al. US Patent 6,345,279 and/or Fields et al. US Patent 6,606,120) anticipates or renders obvious the claimed limitations of the current application.

In response to applicant's request for the examiner to conduct a dictionary search of the term "bit map", the examiner finds the applicant's dictionary search to be acceptable in order to support the examiner's position related to the new matter objection and rejection recited in the previous final office action filed 3/10/2005. Specifically the search provided by applicant provides 13 different definitions for the term "Bit map". While some of these definitions have overlapping meanings, there are three general groups of meanings presented in the list: related to representing graphics in the form of pixels (the bits correspond to the pixels); a specialized form of an index; and a file format. While the applicant has failed to specifically point to one of these definitions as the meaning of the claim language in question, the applicant's originally file application suggests on page 9, lines 7-13 that the inventive process, in a color reducing step, converts 24-bit colors to 8-bit colors or 8-bit colors to 1-bit colors, such that "a color histogram is sorted according to an index arranged in a one dimensional array".

The Examiner has further conducted a search for definitions related to the term "image data" which is used as a key term in the inventive disclosure (see attachment). The 4 definitions presented clearly indicate that image data can take forms other than bit maps, including raster images and satellite data. The third definition presented on the list defines image data as "a term used loosely to refer to bitmap data, or the portion of a bitmap file containing bitmap data". 35 USC 112 requires the specification provide a "written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it in such full, clear, concise and exact terms as to enable a person skilled in the art ..." The examiner maintains the position that the originally filed disclosure fails to support the claimed limitation of "a bit map of a document".